

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,252 10/02/2003		Kwang Min Kim	054358-5018	3449	
9629	7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER		
	LEWIS & BOCKIUS L	CHUNG, I	CHUNG, DAVID Y		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•	2871			
		DATE MAILED: 11/20/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	10.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Antique O conserve	10/676,252		KIM ET AL.	(pw)			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
<u> </u>		David Y. Chur		2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence add	tress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, unsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun or period for reply specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, h ication. 8 ays, a reply within the statutory ory period will apply and will expl, by statute, cause the applicatic	owever, may a reply be tim- minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from t on to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this coi O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>05 October 2005</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□	· · · · - ·							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
10)	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	•	• • • • •		• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	nt(s)							
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)[Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:		-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mima (U.S. 6,879,357) in view of Tagusa et al. (U.S. 5,859,683).

Mima discloses a liquid crystal display wherein the surface of the array substrate is rubbed in a 315° direction. Note in figure 13, the color filter substrate having a black matrix BM and color filter layers FIL formed in regions defined by the black matrix. Note in figure 12, the array substrate having gate bus line Xn, data bus line Yn, pixel electrode PIX contacting drain electrode SD1. Figure 12 shows thin film transistors at the intersection between gate and data lines. The orientation layer OR1 is construed as the organic insulating layer since orientation layers are typically made from polyimide. Figure 13 shows liquid crystal LC between the two substrates. Figure 12 shows the rubbing direction DIR to be both in a 135° direction and a 315° direction. See column 11, line 65 – column 13, line 30.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,252 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Mima does not disclose overlapping the pixel electrode with the gate and data lines as well as adjacent gate and data lines. However, it was well known and obvious to do this in order to increase the aperture ratio as disclosed by Tagusa et al. Tagusa et al. teaches that overlapping the pixel electrode with each of the scanning and signal lines can increase the aperture ratio to about 78% or more. See column 6, lines 31-35. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to overlap the pixel electrode with the signal line in order to achieve improved aperture ratio.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-8 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record did not teach or suggest the specific dimensions of the gate line, data line, pixel electrode and black matrix as claimed.

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-0155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

David Chung GAU 2871 11/28/05 KENNETH PARKER PRIMARY EXAMINER